These are not active conflicts (changes made by another user). It is the original article the user just edited, but it is highlighted in the exact same way as actual changes made by the other users. This mixed version is what is causing the confusion and should be avoided if possible.

I think this is the most ideal version. All of the other user’s active changes are highlighted and shown. This means all the changes to the original article they were editing are shown clearly.

Line 4 also clearly shows that the other user made an edit but that ‘you’ did not because there is no highlight.

Line 7 clearly has conflicting edits because there are highlights on both sides.

I think this should be avoided. The confusing ‘non-conflicts’ are still visible while some changes from the other user are buried. I think it muddies the meaning of “Latest revision”

I think this version works as well, since it avoids the main confusion, but it is not as transparent as B-2.

On the other hand, it meets the goal of only showing conflicts. This seems ideal because it is only showing items which require action.

In most cases, the only necessary action for Line 4 is reading to understand and then selecting the other version. If the other user made many small edits (like in example A), this is a lot of unnecessary work.